arXiv:1610.02417v1 [math.AG] 7 Oct 2016

A LEFSCHETZ HYPERPLANE THEOREM FOR NON-ARCHIMEDEAN JACOBIANS

TIF SHEN

Abstract. We establish a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for the Berkovich analytifications of Jacobians of curves over an algebraically closed non-Archimedean field. Let J be the Jacobian of a curve X, and let $W_d \subset J$ be the locus of effective divisor classes of degree d. We show that the pair (J^{an}, W_d^{an}) is d-connected, and thus in particular the inclusion of the analytification of the theta divisor Θ^{an} into J^{an} satisfies a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology groups and homotopy groups. A key ingredient in our proof is a generalization, over arbitrary characteristics and allowing arbitrary singularities on the base, of a result of Brown and Foster for the homotopy type of analytic projective bundles.

1. Introduction

The main objective of this paper is to establish, for Berkovich analytifications of Jacobians of curves, a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology and homotopy groups. Let K be an algebraically closed field complete with respect to a non-trivial non-Archimedean norm $|\cdot|_K$. Let X be a smooth projective curve over K of genus g, and let J be its Jacobian. Let $W_d \subset J$ be the locus of effective divisor classes of degree d.

Theorem A. *For $1 \leq d \leq g-1$, the pair (J^{an}, W_d^{an}) is d-connected, i.e.*

$$\pi_i(J^{an}, W_d^{an}) = 0 \text{ for } i \leq d.$$

In particular, the inclusion $W_d^{an} \hookrightarrow J^{an}$ induces isomorphisms between $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology groups of dimension $< d$, and an injection in dimension d.

Let D denote an ample divisor on a smooth projective variety Y of dimension n over K. As a consequence of the ℓ-adic Lefschetz hyperplane theorem [Del80] and of Berkovich's weight 0 comparison theorem [Ber00], the inclusion $D^{an} \hookrightarrow Y^{an}$ induces isomorphisms between $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology groups of dimensions $< n-1$, and an injection in dimension $n-1$. However, Payne noticed that the previous statement does not hold in general if we replace $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$, or if we replace cohomology groups with homotopy groups [Pay15, Example 17]. Let Θ denote the theta divisor of J. In the same paper, Payne suggested that the pair (J^{an}, Θ^{an}) may satisfy a Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology and homotopy groups [Pay15, Example 16]. Since W_{g-1} is a translate of Θ, as a special case of Theorem A, we show that that a Lefschetz theorem for $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology and homotopy groups indeed holds for the pair (J^{an}, Θ^{an}).

In general, the Poincaré formula says that

$$[W_d] = \frac{1}{(g-d)!} \bigcap^{g-d} [\Theta],$$

and thus W_d is contained in W_{d+1} as an ample divisor. Moreover, the singular locus of W_{d+1} is equal to locus of divisor classes of rank at least 1, which is contained in W_d. Over

Date: October 11, 2016.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant CAREER DMS-1149054 (PI: Sam Payne).

$\mathbb{C}$, the classical Lefschetz hyperplane theorem [Mil63, §7] implies that the pair (W_{d+1}, W_d) is d-connected. Theorem A can therefore also be viewed as a non-Archimedean analog of Lefschetz for the pair (W_{d+1}^{an}, W_d^{an}).

1.1. **Tropicalization.** The main technical step in the proof Theorem A consists in showing that the natural map from W_d^{an} to its tropicalization is a homotopy equivalence. Recall the analytification X^{an} has a skeleton Γ, which is a metric graph. We know from [Ber90, BR15] that the Jacobian $J(\Gamma)$ of Γ is a skeleton of J^{an}. In particular, there is a canonical retraction map from J^{an} onto $J(\Gamma)$. Let $W_d(\Gamma)$ denote the image in $J(\Gamma)$ of W_d^{an}.

Theorem B. *The map $W_d^{an} \to W_d(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence for all d.*

It suffices then to show that the pair $(J(\Gamma), W_d(\Gamma))$ is d-connected. Since the retraction of J^{an} onto $J(\Gamma)$ is compatible with the Abel-Jacobi maps of X^{an} and Γ [BR15, Proposition 6.1], $W_d(\Gamma)$ is identified with the locus of effective divisor classes of degree d on Γ. In particular, there is a natural surjection $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$, which we show to be a homotopy equivalence. The desired statement for the pair $(J(\Gamma), W_d(\Gamma))$ then follows by comparing the homotopy groups of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ with the homotopy groups of $J(\Gamma)$ (see §3).

1.2. **Morphisms with contractible fibers.** Let $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ denote the analytification of the dth symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ of X. A crucial part of our proof of Theorem B consists in showing the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to W_d^{an}(X)$ is also a homotopy equivalence. Since the fiber over a divisor class $[D] \in W_d(X)$ is the projective space $|D|$, we obtain this homotopy equivalence as a special case of the following theorem. Let K be a (not necessarily algebraically closed) field complete with respect to a non-Archimedean norm.

Theorem C. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of quasi-projective K-varieties. Suppose that there is a finite stratification $Y = \coprod_i Y_i$ such that $f : X \times_Y Y_i \to Y_i$ is a projective bundle of rank r_i over Y_i. Then, there is a finite extension $K \subset L$ such that $f_L^{an} : (X_L)^{an} \to (Y_L)^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Moreover, if we suppose the field K has a countable dense subset, then we can take $L = K$.

Brown and Foster have shown in [BF14] that over $K = \mathbb{C}((t))$, if $f : X \to Y$ is a projective bundle with Y smooth, then $f^{an} : X^{an} \to Y^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Their argument follows the minimal model approach developed in [dFKX12, MN15, NX13]. The assumptions of equi-characteristic 0 and of Y being smooth (or mildly singular) are essential for this method.

We follow a different approach, which works over K of arbitrary characteristic and allows arbitrarily bad singularities for Y. Recall from [HLP14] that over K with a countable dense subset, the analytification X^{an} of a quasi-projective K-scheme of dimension d embeds into $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$; in particular X^{an} is metrizable and has a countable dense subset. Therefore, we can apply the Vietoris-Begle-Smale mapping theorem [Sma57] to show that over such K, any proper surjection $f^{an} : X^{an} \to Y^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence if the fibers of f^{an} are contractible. We conclude the proof of Theorem C with a spreading out argument (see §4).

Remark 1.1. One could ask if the conclusion of Theorem C holds without having to pass to a finite extension L of K. We are not aware of examples of morphisms f satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem for which f^{an} fails to be a homotopy equivalence. The finite extension is used only to apply [HL16, Theorem 14.2.3].

2. Metric Graphs and their Jacobians

Recall from [BF06, MZ08] that a **metric graph** Γ is the geometric realization of a graph $G = (V, E)$ equipped with an edge-length function $\ell : E \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Each edge e is identified with a line segment in Γ of length $\ell(e)$. We associate to Γ the real torus

$$J(\Gamma) := H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})/H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}),$$

i.e. the **Jacobian** of Γ.

Fix a full-rank lattice Λ in a real vector space V. Given a positive definite quadratic form on V, the Voronoi polytope $\mathrm{Vor}(Q)$ associated to Q is the set of points

$$\mathrm{Vor}(Q) := \{x \in V : Q(x, x) \leq Q(x - \lambda, x - \lambda)\ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

Set $\Lambda = H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$, and consider the positive definite quadratic form $Q(\Gamma)$ on $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$Q(\Gamma)\left(\sum_{e\in E} a_e e\right) := \sum_{e\in E} a_e^2 \ell(e)$$

where $\sum_{e\in E} a_e e$ is a 1-chain. The **theta divisor** $\Theta(\Gamma)$ of $J(\Gamma)$ is the image in $J(\Gamma)$ of the codimension 1 skeleton of the associated Voronoi polytope $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$.

2.1. **Divisors of a metric graph.** We now review the theory of divisors on a metric graph. For further details and references, see [BF11, MZ08]. A **divisor** is a finitely supported element $D = \sum_{x\in\Gamma} D_x x$ of the free abelian group on Γ. Let $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise linear function with integral slopes, let $\mathrm{ord}_x(f)$ denote the sum of outgoing slopes of f at x, and let $\mathrm{div}(f)$ be the divisor defined by the sum

$$\mathrm{div}(f) := \sum_{x\in\Gamma} \mathrm{ord}_x(f) x.$$

Two divisors D and D' are said to be **equivalent** if $D - D' = \mathrm{div}(f)$ for some f.

Mikhalkin and Zharkov constructed, for each choice of basepoint $p \in \Gamma$, a **tropical Abel-Jacobi map** $\alpha_p : \Gamma \to J(\Gamma)$. Let $\deg(D) := \sum_{x\in\Gamma} D_x$ be the degree of a divisor D; let $\mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$ be the set of divisors of degree d. Extending α_p linearly gives maps $\alpha_{p,d} : \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma) \to J(\Gamma)$. Note that the tropical Abel-Jacobi map takes equivalent divisors to the same point in $J(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^d(\Gamma)$ be the equivalent classes of divisors degree d. Then in particular, we get an induced map $\alpha_{p,d} : \mathrm{Pic}^d(\Gamma) \to J(\Gamma)$.

Theorem ([MZ08, Theorem 6.2])**.** *The map $\alpha_{p,d} : \mathrm{Pic}^d(\Gamma) \to J(\Gamma)$ is a bijection, and when $d = 0$ it does not depend on the choice of basepoint p.*

2.2. **Effective divisors.** A divisor is **effective** if all of its coefficients are non-negative; a divisor class is effective if it contains an effective representative. Let $W_d(\Gamma) \subset J(\Gamma)$ denote the image under $\alpha_{p,d}$ of the locus of effective divisor classes in $\mathrm{Pic}^d(\Gamma)$. For $d' \leq d$, one can easily see that $W_{d'}(\Gamma) \subset W_d(\Gamma)$.

For $1 \leq d$, let $\Theta_d(\Gamma)$ denote the image in $J(\Gamma)$ on the d-skeleton of $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$. Let b denote the **genus** of Γ, i.e. its first Betti number. By [MZ08, Corollary 8.6], $W_{b-1}(\Gamma)$ is a translate of $\Theta_{b-1}(\Gamma) = \Theta(\Gamma)$.

Example 2.1. Let Γ be a bouquet of n circles of arbitrary lengths. Let $e_1, ..., e_n$ denote the cycles in $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by the edges of Γ. Then $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$, up to translation by $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} e_i$, is the cube with vertex set $\{\sum_{i\in I} e_i\}$ as I ranges over the subsets of $\{1, ..., n\}$. From this, one can easily show that $W_1(\Gamma) = \Theta_1(\Gamma) + \kappa$. Since $W_d(\Gamma) = W_1(\Gamma) + \cdots + W_1(\Gamma)$,

it follows that $W_d(\Gamma) = \Theta_d(\Gamma) + \kappa$ for all d, and therefore $J(\Gamma)$ can be obtained from $\Theta_d(\Gamma)$ by attaching cells of dimensions $> d$.

In general, $W_d(\Gamma)$ is not necessarily a translate of $\Theta_d(\Gamma)$. In fact, they are not necessarily homotopic to each other, as the next example shows.

Example 2.2. Consider the metric graph Γ on the left on Figure 1. The front and the back of its Voronoi polytope $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$ are displayed on the center and right respectively. The quotient map $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma)) \to J(\Gamma)$ identifies the opposite faces of $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$, and sends the d-skeleton of $\mathrm{Vor}(Q(\Gamma))$ onto $\Theta_d(\Gamma)$. From this, one can deduce that $\Theta_1(\Gamma)$ is a graph with 4 vertices and 9 edges, and therefore has genus 6. However, $W_1(\Gamma)$ is homotopic to Γ, which has genus 3, and therefore cannot be identified with $\Theta_1(\Gamma)$.



FIGURE 1.

3. Symmetric Products and Lefschetz for $W_d(\Gamma)$

Recall that a pair of spaces (A, B) is **n-connected** if $\pi_i(A, B) = 0$ for $i \leq n$. By construction, $J(\Gamma)$ can be obtained from $\Theta_d(\Gamma)$ by attaching cells of dimensions $> d$. In particular, the pair $(J(\Gamma), \Theta_d(\Gamma))$ is d-connected. In this section, we show that the same is true for the pair $(J(\Gamma), W_d(\Gamma))$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $d \geq 1$, the pair $(J(\Gamma), W_d(\Gamma))$ is d-connected.*

As noted in the introduction, the key technical step of the proof of Theorem 3.1 consists in showing that the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence. First, let us recall some basic facts about symmetric products of topological spaces.

3.1. **Symmetric products.** Given a topological space Δ, the dth symmetric group S_d acts on the product Δ^d by permuting the factors. The **dth symmetric product** $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta)$ of Δ is the quotient space Δ^d/S_d. The points of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta)$ can be written as formal unordered sums $p_1 + \cdots + p_d$ of points $p_1, ..., p_d \in \Delta$. As noted in [Hat02, §4K], taking symmetric products preserves homotopy equivalence in following sense. A continuous map $f : \Delta \to \Delta'$ induces a continuous map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(f) : \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta) \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta')$ defined by $p_1 + \cdots + p_d \mapsto f(p_1) + \cdots + f(p_d)$. If f is a homotopy equivalence, then so is $\mathrm{Sym}^d(f)$.

Example 3.2. By the fundamental theorem of symmetric functions, there is a canonical homeomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^d$, which sends $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathbb{C})$ onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$ (see [Hat02, Example 4K.4]). Since S^1 is homotopic to $\mathbb{C}^*$, we have that $\mathrm{Sym}^d(S^1)$ is homotopic to $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, and the latter is homotopic to S^1.

Set $\Delta = \Gamma$. Since Γ is homotopic to a wedge sum $\bigvee^b S^1$, we have a homotopy equivalence from $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ to $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\bigvee^b S^1)$. Consider the CW-structure on the torus $(S^1)^b$ given by identifying the opposite faces of the cube $[0,1]^b$, and let $(S^1)^b_d$ denote its d-skeleton.

Theorem ([Ong03, Theorem 1.2]). *For $d \leq b$, we have a homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d\left(\bigvee^b S^1\right) \sim (S^1)^b_d.$$

Remark 3.3. The proof is a generalization of Example 3.2, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is replaced by $\mathbb{C}$ minus b points in general position.

3.2. **Lefschetz for $W_d(\Gamma)$.** There is a natural inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$, realizing a point $p_1 + \cdots + p_d$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ as an effective degree d divisor on Γ. Thus, fixing a basepoint $p \in \Gamma$, we have a map $\alpha_p^{(d)} : \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$ induced by the map $\alpha_{p,d} : \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$. The following is an essential ingredient in our proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *The map $\alpha_p^{(d)} : \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proposition 3.4 is a consequence of the contractibility of the fibers of $\alpha_p^{(d)}$, as we now explain. First, recall that given a divisor D, the **complete linear series** $|D|$ is the set of effective divisors equivalent to D. Each point x of $W_d(\Gamma)$ corresponds to a class $[D] \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(\Gamma)$ containing an effective divisor D. This inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$ identifies $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ with the subset of $\mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$ of effective divisors, and therefore preimage of x in $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ is equal to $|D|$. Consider $|D|$ as a topological space with the subspace topology from $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$.

Theorem ([HMY12, Corollary 30]). *The complete linear series $|D|$ is contractible.*

We also recall that a continuous function $\phi : M \to N$ is a **weak homotopy equivalence** if the induced map $\phi_{*,n} : \pi_n(M) \to \pi_n(N)$ is an isomorphism for all n. The following is a theorem of Smale, also known as the Vietoris-Begle-Smale mapping theorem.

Theorem ([Sma57, Main Theorem]). *Let $\phi : M \to N$ be a proper surjection between connected locally compact metric spaces with a countable dense subset. Suppose M is locally contractible, and suppose $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is contractible for all $p \in N$. Then ϕ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Remark 3.5. Note that the above statement does not hold if Y is not metrizable [CGM12, Example 2.8]. Moreover, the statement also does not hold if f is not proper, even if both X and Y are manifolds [CGM12, Example 2.11].

By Whitehead's theorem, a weak homotopy equivalence $\phi : M \to N$ is a homotopy equivalence if both M and N has the homotopy type of CW-complexes. Given a CW-decomposition on Γ, there is a natural way of putting a CW-structure on $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ (see [Hat02, §4K]). From [LPP12], we know that we can write $W_d(\Gamma)$ as the image of a finite union of polytopes in $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, this implies that $W_d(\Gamma)$ also admits a CW-decomposition.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. By Whitehead's theorem and the Vietoris-Begle-Smale mapping theorem, it suffices to show that the map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to W_d(\Gamma)$ has contractible fibers. As observed above, the fibers of the map are contractible by [HMY12, Corollary 30], and the theorem follows. □

We now prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For $d \geq b$, $W_d(\Gamma) = J(\Gamma)$. Therefore we only need to consider the case of $d < b$. By Proposition 3.4, we can equivalently show that for $d < b$, the map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to J(\Gamma)$ induces isomorphisms between homotopy groups of dimensions $< d$ and a surjection in dimension d.

Choose a basepoint $p \in \Gamma$. There is a natural map $\Gamma \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ given by

$$x \mapsto x + p + \cdots + p$$

such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\quad\alpha_p\quad} \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \longrightarrow J(\Gamma)$$

The map $\alpha_{p,*} : H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(J(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ and the map $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphisms. Therefore $H_1(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(J(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Now, the Hurewicz maps $\pi_1(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)) \to H_1(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\pi_1(J(\Gamma)) \to H_1(J(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphisms. Hence, the map $\pi_1(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)) \to \pi_1(J(\Gamma))$ is an isomorphism.

By [Ong03, Theorem 1.2], for $1 < i < d$, we have that $\pi_i(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)) \cong \pi_i((S^1)^b_d) = 0$. For $i > 1$, $\pi_i(J(\Gamma)) = 0$. Hence, $\pi_i(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)) \to \pi_i(J(\Gamma))$ is an isomorphism in dimensions $< d$, and a surjection in dimension d. □

Remark 3.6. In [AB14], Adiprasito and Björner proved, using a Morse-theoretic argument, that locally matroidal tropical varieties in $\mathbb{TP}^n$ satisfy a similar Lefschetz hyperplane theorem. The methods and results of [AB14] do not apply to our setting, since $W_d(\Gamma)$ is not necessarily locally matroidal. For example, if Γ is the bouquet of circles from Example 2.1, then $W_d(\Gamma)$ is locally isomorphic to the dual fan of a cube, which is not a matroidal fan.

4. Analytification of Morphisms with Projective Fibers

4.1. **Berkovich analytification.** Let K be a field complete with respect to a non-trivial non-Archimedean norm $|\cdot|_K$. Berkovich analytification associates to each scheme X that is locally of finite type over K an analytic space X^{an}. If $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, then the points of X^{an} are multiplicative seminorms $|\cdot| : A \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ extending the given norm on K. The topology on X^{an} is the coarsest topology such that, for each $a \in A$, the function on X^{an} given by $|\cdot| \mapsto |a|$ is continuous. In general, given an affine cover $X = \cup_i U_i$, there is a natural way to construct X^{an} by gluing together the U_i^{an}: see [Ber90] for more details.

An **extension** L of K is a field $K \subset L$ with a norm $|\cdot|_L$ extending $|\cdot|_K$; K is then a **non-Archimedean subfield** of L. Given an extension L of K, there is a natural map $X(L) \to X^{an}$ defined as follow. Suppose we have a map $\operatorname{Spec} L \to X$. Let U be an affine open in X containing the image of $\operatorname{Spec} L$. Then, we obtain a norm $|\cdot| \in U^{an} \subset X^{an}$ by composing $|\cdot|_L$ with the map $\mathscr{O}_X(U) \to L$. Note that if L' is an extension of L, then the following commutes, where the map $X(L) \to X(L')$ is given by viewing an L point as an L' point.

$$X(L) \xrightarrow{\qquad} X(L') \longrightarrow X^{an}$$

Given a point $p \in X^{an}$, there is always an extension L of K such that p is in the image of $X(L)$. Indeed, choose an affine open $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $p \in U^{an}$, then we can write p as a seminorm $|\cdot|_p : A \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the kernel of $|\cdot|_p$; then $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime. Let $k(p)$ denote the completion of the fraction field $k(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. The composition $\mathscr{O}_X(U) \to k(\mathfrak{p}) \to k(p)$ gives us a canonical preimage of p in $X(k(p))$.

There is a nice correspondence between the scheme-theoretic properties of X and the topological properties of X^{an}.

Theorem ([Ber90, Theorem 3.4.8]). *The scheme X is separated (resp. connected, resp. proper) if and only if X^{an} is Hausdorff (resp. path-connected, resp. compact).*

The sheaf of functions on X determines a sheaf of analytic functions on X^{an}, and each morphism $f : X \to Y$ induces a morphism of ringed spaces $f^{an} : X^{an} \to Y^{an}$. Analytification is thus a functorial construction taking X to the category K-**An** of K-analytic spaces. Since we are only interested in the topological properties of analytifications, we refer the reader to [Ber90] for the details of these constructions. For our purposes, we only need the following. Suppose we have a morphism $f : X \to Y$.

Proposition ([Ber90, §3.4]). *The morphism f is injective (resp. surjective, resp. proper) if and only if f^{an} is injective (resp. surjective, resp. proper).*

Let $p \in Y^{an}$. As discussed, there is an associated point $\operatorname{Spec} k(p) \to Y$ in $Y(k(p))$. Let $X_{k(p)}$ denote $X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} k(p)$; the **analytic fiber** of p is the analytification $X^{an}_{k(p)}$.

Proposition ([Ber93, §1.4]). *There is a natural homeomorphism*

$$X^{an}_{k(p)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (f^{an})^{-1}(p).$$

4.2. **Morphisms with projective fibers.** For the rest of this section, all schemes are assumed to be quasi-projective. Recall the embeddability result from Hrushovski, Loeser and Poonen.

Theorem ([HLP14, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a scheme over K of dimension d. Then X^{an} is homeomorphic to a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ if and only if K has a countable dense subset.*

Also recall that using model theory techniques, Hrushovski and Loeser established in [HL16] various topological tameness results for Berkovich analytifications (see [Duc13] for an expository summary). In particular, they showed that Berkovich analytications are locally contractible and have the homotopy type of CW-complexes [HL16, Theorem 14.4.1, Theorem 14.2.4]. These results allow us to apply the Vietoris-Begle-Smale mapping theorem to derive the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose K has a countable dense subset. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper surjection such that for all $p \in Y^{an}$, the analytic fiber $X^{an}_{k(p)}$ is contractible. Then the map $f^{an} : X^{an} \to Y^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. By [HLP14, Theorem 1.1], X^{an} and Y^{an} are locally compact metrizable, and have a countable dense subset. Thus, by [Sma57, Main Theorem], f^{an} is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since X^{an} and Y^{an} have the homotopy type of a CW-complex, f^{an} is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem. □

Suppose now that K does not necessarily have a countable dense subset.

Definition 4.2. A morphism $f : X \to Y$ of K-schemes **satisfies property** (†) if there is a finite stratification $Y = \coprod_i Y_i$ such that $f : X \times_Y Y_i \to Y_i$ is a projective bundle of rank r_i over Y_i.

Let K' be a subfield of K and let X' be a scheme over K'. For any extension F of K', let X'_F denote $X' \otimes_{K'} F$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose we have $f : X \to Y$ satisfying (†). Then, there exist a non-Archimedean subfield $K' \subset K$, a model X' (resp. Y') over K' of X (resp. Y), and a morphism $f'_{K'} : X' \to Y'$ such that the following holds.*

(1) The field K' has a countable dense subset.
(2) The models X' and Y' are quasi-projective.
(3) The morphism $f'_{K'}$ satisfies property (†).
(4) The morphism $f'_K = (f'_{K'} \otimes_{K'} K)$ is equal to f.

Proof. First, we show that there is a non-Archimedean subfield Q of K with a countable dense subset. Let $q = \mathrm{char}(K)$, and let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ if q is prime and $\mathbb{Q}$ otherwise. Then $\mathbb{F} \subset K$. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a non-zero element in the maximal ideal of the valuation ring R of K, and let Q be the completion of $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{T})$. Then $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{T})$ is a countable dense subset of Q.

Given a finite collection $\mathscr{T} := \{T_1, ..., T_n\} \subset K$, let $Q_{\mathscr{T}}$ be the completion of $Q(T_1, ..., T_n)$. Then $Q_{\mathscr{T}}$ has a countable dense subset, i.e. the subfield $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{T}, T_1, ..., T_n)$. Since $K = \varinjlim Q_{\mathscr{T}}$, the lemma then follows from a spreading out argument (see [Gro66, Théorème 8.10.5]). □

Theorem C. *Given $f : X \to Y$ satisfying property (†). Then, there is a finite extension $K \subset L$ such that $f^{an}_L : (X_L)^{an} \to (Y_L)^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Moreover, if we suppose the field K has a countable dense subset, then we can take $L = K$.

Proof. First, suppose K has a countable dense subset. Then, given $p \in Y_i^{an} \subset Y^{an}$, let

$$X^i_{k(p)} := X_i \times_{Y_i} \mathrm{Spec}\, k(p).$$

By (†), we have $X_{k(p)} = X^i_{k(p)} \cong \mathbb{P}^{r_i}_{k(p)}$, and thus the fiber $(f^{an})^{-1}(p)$ is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{r_i}_{k(p)})^{an}$, which is contractible. Note that the fibers of f are proper, and hence f is proper. By Proposition 4.1, f^{an} is a homotopy equivalence.

In general, we choose K', X', Y' and $f'_{K'}$ as in Lemma 4.3. By [HL16, Theorem 14.2.3], there exists a finite extension L' of K' such that for all extensions F of L', the maps $(X'_F)^{an} \to (X'_{L'})^{an}$ and $(Y'_F)^{an} \to (Y'_{L'})^{an}$ are homotopy equivalences. Then, $f'_{L'}$ also satisfies (†), and thus $(f'_{L'})^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence since L', being finite over K', has a countable dense subset.

Let L be a finite extension of K containing L'. Then $(X'_L)^{an} \to (X_{L'})^{an}$ and $(Y'_L)^{an} \to (Y_{L'})^{an}$ are homotopy equivalences by the choice of L. Hence $f^{an}_L = f^{an}_{L'} \otimes_{L'} L$ is a homotopy equivalence. □

5. Skeletons and Product of Strictly Polystable Models

Given X over K, we say that a CW-complex Δ is a **skeleton** of X^{an} if there is an inclusion $\Delta \hookrightarrow X^{an}$ and a deformation retraction $h_t : X^{an} \to X^{an}$ onto the image of Δ. Let R denote the valuation ring of K. In this section, we review the construction from [Ber99] of skeletons of X^{an} given by strictly polystable R-models $\mathcal{X}$ of X.

5.1. Skeletons of Strictly Polystable Models.

From this point onward, fix a non-zero element $\mathfrak{T}$ in the maximal ideal of R. A locally finitely presented formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over R is **strictly polystable** if, for every $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, there is an affine neighborhood $\mathfrak{U}$ of x such that the morphism $\mathfrak{U} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, R$ factors through an étale morphism $\mathfrak{U} \to \mathrm{Spf}\, B_0 \times .. \times \mathrm{Spf}\, B_j$ where each B_i is of the form

$$R\{T_0, ..., T_n\}/(T_0 \cdot ... \cdot T_n - a)$$

for some $a \in R$. A scheme $\mathcal{X}$ over R is **strictly polystable** if its $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion $\mathfrak{X}$ is strictly polystable.

Given $\mathfrak{X}$ strictly polystable, let $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ denote the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{X}$ (in the category K-**An**). In the paper mentioned above, Berkovich constructed a CW-complex $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$ associated to $\mathfrak{X}$, called the **skeleton of** $\mathfrak{X}$. The complex $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$ has a natural inclusion $\iota^{\mathfrak{X}} : \Delta(\mathfrak{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$, and a there is an associated deformation retraction $h_t^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ onto the image of $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$.

Remark 5.1. Suppose we have a strictly polystable R-model $\mathcal{X}$ of a scheme X over K, and suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is proper. Then, the generic fiber $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ of the $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion of $\mathcal{X}$ is in fact equal to X^{an}. Thus, $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$ is a skeleton of X^{an}.

Example 5.2. A scheme $\mathcal{X}$ over R is called **strictly semistable** if for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of x such that the map $\mathcal{U} \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ factors through an étale morphism $\mathcal{U} \to \operatorname{Spec} B$ where B is of the form

$$R[T_0, ..., T_{n+k}]/(T_0 \cdot ... \cdot T_n - a)$$

for some non-zero element a in the maximal ideal of R.

Clearly being strictly semistable implies being strictly polystable. Let $\mathcal{X}_0$ denote the special fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. Then, $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $\{Z_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}_0$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ be the poset with underlying set

$$\{W \subset \mathcal{X}_0 : W \text{ is a irreducible component of } \cap_{i \in J} Z_i \text{ for any } J \subset I\}$$

and ordering given by reverse inclusion. Recall that the **dual complex** $\Delta(\mathcal{X}_0)$ of $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a Δ-complex whose poset of faces is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_0)$. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ denote the $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion of $\mathcal{X}$, then there is a canonical isomorphism $\Delta(\mathfrak{X}) \cong \Delta(\mathcal{X}_0)$.

5.2. **Skeletons of Products.** A morphism of R-formal schemes $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$ is **trivially polystable** if it is étale locally isomorphic to a projection $\mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{V} \to \mathfrak{V}$ where $\mathfrak{U}$ is strictly polystable.

Theorem ([Ber99, Theorem 8.1.viii])**.** *Suppose we are given a trivially polystable morphism $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$ between two strictly polystable formal schemes over* $\operatorname{Spf} R$*. Let $\mathfrak{f}_\eta : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to \mathfrak{Y}_\eta$ denote the induced morphism on the generic fibers. Then the deformations retractions $h_t^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and $h_t^{\mathfrak{Y}} : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \to \mathfrak{Y}_\eta$ commute with $\mathfrak{f}_\eta$. In other words, for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, one has that $\mathfrak{f}_\eta(h_t^{\mathfrak{X}}(x)) = h_t^{\mathfrak{Y}}(\mathfrak{f}_\eta(x))$*

Let $\mathfrak{X}^d$ denote the d-fold fiber product over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ of a strictly polystable formal scheme $\mathfrak{X}$. Then $\mathfrak{X}^d$ is strictly polystable. The projection $\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}}$ of $\mathfrak{X}^d$ onto its ith factor is clearly trivially polystable, and there is a canonical homeomorphism $\Delta(\mathfrak{X}^d) \cong \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ satisfying the properties outlined below.

Proposition 5.3. *Let π_i^Δ denote the projection of $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ onto its ith factor. Then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d & \xrightarrow{\pi_i^\Delta} & \Delta(\mathfrak{X}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^{\mathfrak{X}^d}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^{\mathfrak{X}}} \\ \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d & \xrightarrow[\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}}]{} & \mathfrak{X}_\eta \end{array}$$

Moreover, let $r^{\mathfrak{X}} = h_1^{\mathfrak{X}}$ *denote the retraction map from* $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ *onto* $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$*, and let* $r^{\mathfrak{X}^d} = h_1^{\mathfrak{X}^d}$ *denote the retraction map from* $\mathfrak{X}_\eta^d$ *onto* $\Delta(\mathfrak{X}^d) \cong \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$*. Then the following diagram also commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d & \xrightarrow{\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}}} & \mathfrak{X}_\eta \\ {\scriptstyle r^{\mathfrak{X}^d}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^{\mathfrak{X}}} \\ \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d & \xrightarrow[\pi_i^{\Delta}]{} & \Delta(\mathfrak{X}) \end{array}$$

Proof. Commutativity of the first diagram is a special case of [Ber99, Theorem 8.2]. Commutativity of the second diagram follows then from [Ber99, Theorem 8.1.viii]. □

6. Skeletons of Symmetric Products

6.1. **Symmetric products.** Let X denote a projective K-scheme with a proper strictly polystable R-model $\mathcal{X}$. For $d \geq 1$, the dth symmetric group S_d acts on X^d by permuting the factors. The d**th symmetric product** $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ of X is the scheme-theoretic quotient $X^d /\!\!/ S_d$ in K-**Sch**. Similarly, S_d acts on the product $\mathcal{X}^d$ over R by permuting the factors. The d**th relative symmetric product** $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{X})$ is the quotient $\mathcal{X}^d /\!\!/ S_d$ in R-**Sch**.

Let $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ denote the analytification of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$, and let $\mathfrak{Sym}^d$ denote the $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{X})$. Since $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{X})$ is proper and is an R-model of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$, one could hope to use $\mathfrak{Sym}^d$ to construct a skeleton for $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) = \mathfrak{Sym}_\eta^d$. However, aside from a few special cases, such as when X is a smooth curve with good reduction, $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{X})$ is highly singular and far from being strictly polystable.

Let G be any finite group acting on a K-scheme Y. Recall that the action of G on Y induces an action of G on the topological space Y^{an}; each $\sigma \in G$ can be viewed as an automorphism $\sigma : Y \to Y$, and the analytification $\sigma^{an} : Y^{an} \to Y^{an}$ is a homeomorphism. Let $Y /\!\!/ G$ denote the quotient in K-**Sch** of Y by G, and let Y^{an}/G denote the quotient in **Top** of Y by G.

Theorem ([Ber95, Corollary 5])**.** *Let* $\pi_G : Y \to Y /\!\!/ G$ *denote the projection map, and let* π_G^{an} *denote its analytification. Then there is a canonical homeomorphism* $(Y /\!\!/ G)^{an} \cong Y^{an}/G$*, identifying* π_G^{an} *with the projection* $Y^{an} \to Y^{an}/G$*.*

Let $X^{d,an}$ denote the analytification of X^d. Since the $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion $\mathfrak{X}^d$ of $\mathcal{X}^d$ is strictly polystable, Proposition 5.3 realizes the complex $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ as a skeleton of $X^{d,an} = \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d$. By invoking [Ber95, Corollary 5], we can now avoid working with $\mathfrak{Sym}^d$, and instead construct a skeleton of $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ by showing that the deformation retraction $h_t^{\mathfrak{X}^d}$ from $X^{d,an}$ onto $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ is S_d-invariant.

6.2. **The skeleton.** Choose $\sigma \in S_d$. The corresponding automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{X}^d \to \mathcal{X}^d$ induces, via $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion, an automorphism $\sigma^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}^d$, which in turn induces an automorphism $\sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d$. Since $\mathfrak{X}_\eta^d = X^{d,an}$, this defines an action of S_d on $X^{d,an}$, which agrees with the action on $X^{d,an}$ discussed previously.

By [Ber99, Theorem 8.1.viii], $\sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d$ restricts itself to a homeomorphism $\sigma^{\Delta} : \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$. This defines an action of S_d on $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$.

Lemma 6.1. *The above action of S_d on $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ is precisely the action of S_d on $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$ given by permuting the factors. In particular, $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d/S_d = \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X}))$.*

Proof. Given $\sigma \in S_d$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$, the composition $\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}} \circ \sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d \to \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is equal to $\pi_{\sigma(i)}^{\mathfrak{X}}$. By Proposition 5.3, $\pi_i^\Delta \circ \sigma^\Delta$ is equal to the restriction $\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}}|_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d} \circ \sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}}|_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d} = (\pi_i^{\mathfrak{X}} \circ \sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}})|_{\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d}$. Therefore $\pi_i^\Delta \circ \sigma^\Delta = \pi_{\sigma(i)}^\Delta$.

Let $p = (p_1, ..., p_d) \in \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$. Then we have

$$\pi_i^\Delta(\sigma^\Delta(p)) = \pi_{\sigma(i)}^\Delta(p) = p_{\sigma(i)}.$$

Hence $\sigma^\Delta(p_1, ..., p_d) = (p_{\sigma(i)}, ..., p_{\sigma(d)})$, which is the desired statement. □

By [Ber95, Corollary 5] and Lemma 6.1, the natural inclusion $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d/S_d \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d/S_d$ defines an inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$, which leads us to the following.

Theorem 6.2. *There is a natural inclusion $\iota^{S_d} : \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ and a deformation retraction $h_t^{S_d} : \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ onto the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X}))$. Moreover, let*

$$r^{S_d} = h_1^{S_d} : \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X}))$$

denote the retraction map. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{d,an} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{S_d}^{an}} & \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle r^{\mathfrak{X}^d}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^{S_d}} \\ \Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X})) \end{array}$$

where $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X}))$ is the natural quotient map.

Proof. The inclusion ι^{S_d} has already been constructed. Now, consider the deformation retraction $h_t^{\mathfrak{X}^d} : \mathfrak{X}^d \to \mathfrak{X}^d$ onto the image of $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})^d$. By [Ber99, Theorem 8.1.viii], for all $\sigma \in S_d$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $\sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}} \circ h_t^{\mathfrak{X}^d} = h_t^{\mathfrak{X}^d} \circ \sigma_\eta^{\mathfrak{X}}$. Therefore, we obtain a family of functions

$$h_t^{S_d} := h_t^{\mathfrak{X}^d}/S_d : \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d/S_d \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta^d/S_d$$

which gives deformation retraction from $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ onto the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Delta(\mathfrak{X}))$. The commutativity of the diagram is immediate from the construction of $h_t^{S_d}$. □

7. Tropicalizing the Abel-Jacobi map

Let X be a smooth projective curve over K of genus g. Assume that X has a strictly semistable R-model $\mathcal{X}$; let $\mathfrak{X}$ denote the $\mathfrak{T}$-adic completion of $\mathcal{X}$. As discussed in Example 5.2, there is a canonical identification between the skeleton $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$ and the dual graph $G(\mathcal{X}_0)$ of the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$ of $\mathcal{X}$.

Each edge e in $G(\mathcal{X}_0)$ corresponds uniquely to a node x_e of $\mathcal{X}_0$, and each x_e has a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_e$ admitting an étale morphism

$$\mathcal{U}_e \to \mathrm{Spec}\, R[x, y]/(xy - a_e)$$

for some non-zero a_e in the maximal ideal of R. Set $\ell_{\mathcal{X}}(e) := -\log|a_e|_K$. The pair $(G(\mathcal{X}), \ell_{\mathcal{X}})$ defines a metric graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathcal{X})$, namely the **tropicalization** of X with respect

to $\mathcal{X}$. Since Γ is naturally homeomorphic to $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$, we have a tropicalization map $X^{an} \to \Gamma$ given by the retraction $r^{\mathfrak{X}}$ of X^{an} onto $\Delta(\mathfrak{X})$. For details and references on skeletons and tropicalizations of non-Archimedean curves, see [Ber90, BPR13].

7.1. **Retraction of divisors.** Assume, for the rest of the section, that the residue field k of R is algebraically closed. Let L be an extension of K, and let $B \subset L$ be its valuation ring. Then $\mathcal{X}_B := \mathcal{X} \otimes_R B$ is a strictly semistable B-model of X_L, and there is an canonical isomorphism between Γ and the tropicalization of X_L with respect to $\mathcal{X}_B$. In particular, we have a map $r_L : X_L(L) \to \Gamma$, given by composing the tropicalization map from X_L^{an} onto Γ with the natural map $X_L(L) \to X_L^{an}$. Extending r_L by linearity gives maps

$$r_L^d : \mathrm{Div}_L^d(X_L) \to \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma),$$

where $\mathrm{Div}_L^d(X_L)$ is the set of degree d divisors on X_L supported on $X(L)$.

Suppose now that L is algebraically closed, then $\mathrm{Div}_L^d(X_L) = \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L)$. Since X is a smooth projective curve, we have an inclusion $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L)$, realizing $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L)$ as the subset of degree d effective divisors.

Proposition 7.1. *The following diagram commutes, where $r_L^{S_d}$ is given by composing the retraction map $r^{S_d} : \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$ with the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_L^{S_d}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_L^d} \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram, with the left square being induced by the commutative diagram from Theorem 6.2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^d(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_L^{S_d}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r_L^d} \\ \Gamma^d & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

To show that the right square commutes, it suffices to show that the outer square commutes. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\pi_i^L : X^d(L) \to X(L)$ denote the projection onto the ith factor. Given $P \in X^d(L)$, let $P_i := \pi_i^L(P)$, and let $p_i := r_L(P_i)$. Then $X^d \to \Gamma^d$ sends P to $(p_1, ..., p_d)$, and $X^d(L) \to \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L)$ sends P to $P_1 + \cdots + P_d$. Hence the outer square commutes, as both $X^d(L) \to \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L) \to \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$ and $X^d(L) \to \Gamma^d \to \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma)$ takes P to $p_1 + \cdots + p_d$. □

7.2. **Abel-Jacobi.** Let J denote the Jacobian of X. Recall from [Ber90, Theorem 6.5.1] that there is a natural inclusion ι^J from the Jacobian torus $J(\Gamma)$ into J^{an}, and a deformation retraction $h_t^J : J^{an} \to J^{an}$ onto the image of $J(\Gamma)$.

Suppose that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Fix a basepoint $P \in X(K)$, and let $p := r_K(P)$.

Proposition ([BR15, Proposition 6.1])**.** *Let $\alpha_P : X \to J$ (resp. $\alpha_p : \Gamma \to J(\Gamma)$) denote the Abel-Jacobi map based at P (resp. the tropical Abel-Jacobi map based at p). The following diagram commutes, where r^J is the retraction map $J^{an} \to J(\Gamma)$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{an} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_P^{an}} & J^{an} \\ {\scriptstyle r^{\mathfrak{X}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^J} \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\alpha_p} & J(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

The map α_P induces an isomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha}_{P,d} : \mathrm{Pic}^d(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} J$. Let $\alpha_P^{(d)} : \mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \to J$ denote the map given by composing $\widetilde{\alpha}_{P,d}$ with the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$. We now establish the following generalization of [BR15, Proposition 6.1].

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\alpha_p^{(d)} : \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) \to J(\Gamma)$ be the map $p_1 + \cdots + p_d \mapsto \alpha_p(p_1) + \cdots + \alpha_p(p_d)$. Then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_P^{(d)}} & J^{an} \\ {\scriptstyle r^{S_d}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^J} \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow[\alpha_p^{(d)}]{} & J(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let L be an extension of K. Without loss of generality, we replace L by its algebraic closure. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(X_L) & \longrightarrow & J(L) \\ {\scriptstyle r_L^{S_d}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle r_L^d}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^J} \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Div}^d(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & J(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

The left square commutes by Proposition 7.1; for the right square, see the proof of [BR15, Theorem 6.1]. Therefore the outer and top squares of the following commute for all L.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L) & \longrightarrow & J(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) & \longrightarrow & J^{an} \\ {\scriptstyle r^{S_d}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r^J} \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & J(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the bottom square now follows, as every points $x \in \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X)$ lies in the image of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)(L)$ for some L. □

8. Non-Archimedean Lefschetz

We continue to follow the notations of §7. From this point onward, K is assumed to be algebraically closed. In particular, $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, the residue field k is algebraically closed, and X always admits a strictly semistable R-model $\mathcal{X}$. Fix $\mathcal{X}$.

8.1. **Tropicalizing effective divisors.** Let $W_d \subset J$ denote the image of the map $\alpha_P^{(d)}$. Given $r \geq 0$, let $W_d^r \subset \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)$ denote the locus of divisor classes of degree d and of rank at least r. Recall that the bijection $\widetilde{\alpha}_{P,d} : \mathrm{Pic}^d(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ identifies W_d^0 with W_d.

Let $\omega^r := W_d^r \setminus W_d^{r+1}$, and let $\omega_P^r := \widetilde{\alpha}_{P,d}(\omega^r)$. Then we have a stratification of W_d given by the disjoint union $\coprod_r \omega_P^r$. Moreover, this stratification is finite.

Lemma 8.1. *The map $\alpha_P^{(d),an} : \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to W_d^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma^r \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ denote the preimage of ω_P^r. Given $x \in \omega_P^r$, let $[D]$ denote the corresponding divisor class in ω^r, and let $D \in [D]$. Then the preimage of x is equal to the complete linear series $|D| \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$. In particular $\Sigma^r \to \omega_P^r$ is a projective bundle of rank r. Therefore, $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \to W_d$ satisfies property (†) from §4.2. By Theorem C, the map $\mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) \to W_d^{an}$ is a homotopy equivalence. □

As a consequence of Proposition 7.2, the map $r^J : J^{an} \to J(\Gamma)$ restricts itself to a map from W_d^{an} to the image $W_d(\Gamma)$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma)$. We now establish the following theorem.

Theorem B. *The map $W_d^{an} \to W_d(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. From Proposition 7.2, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^{d,an}(X) & \longrightarrow & W_d^{an} \\ {\scriptstyle r^{S_d}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Sym}^d(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & W_d(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 8.1, the top arrow is a homotopy equivalence. By Theorem 6.2, the left arrow is a homotopy equivalence. Finally, by Proposition 3.4, the bottom arrow is a homotopy equivalence, and thus the right arrow is a homotopy equivalence. □

8.2. **Proof of non-Archimedean Lefschetz.** We now establish our Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for non-Archimedean Jacobians.

Theorem A. *For $1 \leq d \leq g-1$, the pair (J^{an}, W_d^{an}) is d-connected.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_d^{an} & \hookrightarrow & J^{an} \\ \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle r^J}\downarrow \\ W_d(\Gamma) & \hookrightarrow & J(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

By Theorem B, both vertical arrows are homotopy equivalences. By Theorem 3.1, the pair $(J(\Gamma), W_d(\Gamma))$ is d-connected, and the theorem follows. □

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Sam Payne for suggesting the problem and for his guidance and support. We are also grateful to Tyler Foster, Dhruv Ranganathan and Arseniy Sheydvasser for helpful comments and corrections. Finally, we benefited from many fruitful conversations with Melody Chan, David Jensen and Johannes Nicaise.

References

[AB14] Karim Alexander Adiprasito and Anders Björner. Filtered geometric lattices and Lefschetz Section Theorems over the tropical semiring. *preprint arXiv:1401.7301*, 2014. 3.6

[Ber90] Vladimir G. Berkovich. *Spectral Theory and Analytic Geometry over non-Archimedean Fields*, volume 33 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990. 1.1, 4.1, 7, 7.2

[Ber93] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (78):5–161, 1993. 4.1

[Ber95] Vladimir G. Berkovich. The automorphism group of the Drinfeld half-plane. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 321(9):1127–1132, 1995. 6.1, 6.2

[Ber99] Vladimir G. Berkovich. Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. *Invent. Math.*, 137(1):1–84, 1999. 5, 5.2, 5.2, 6.2, 6.2

[Ber00] Vladimir G. Berkovich. An analog of Tate's conjecture over local and finitely generated fields. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (13):665–680, 2000. 1

[BF06] Matthew Baker and Xander Faber. Metrized Graphs, Laplacian Operators, and Electrical Networks. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 415:15–34, 2006. 2

[BF11] Matthew Baker and Xander Faber. Metric Properties of the Tropical Abel-Jacobi Map. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 33(3):349–381, 2011. 2.1

[BF14] Morgan Brown and Tyler Foster. Rational Connectivity and Analytic Contractibility. *preprint arXiv:1406.7312*, 06 2014. 1.2

[BPR13] Matthew Baker, Sam Payne, and Joseph Rabinoff. On the structure of nonarchimedean analytic curves. In *Tropical and non-Archimedean geometry*, volume 605 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–121. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013. 7

[BR15] Matthew Baker and Joseph Rabinoff. The skeleton of the Jacobian, the Jacobian of the skeleton, and lifting meromorphic functions from tropical to algebraic curves. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(16):7436–7472, 2015. 1.1, 1.1, 7.2, 7.2

[CGM12] Jack S Calcut, Robert E Gompf, and John D McCarthy. On fundamental groups of quotient spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 159(1):322–330, 2012. 3.5

[Del80] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (52):137–252, 1980. 1

[dFKX12] Tommaso de Fernex, János Kollár, and Chenyang Xu. The dual complex of singularities. *preprint arXiv:1212.1675*, December 2012. 1.2

[Duc13] A Ducros. Les espaces de Berkovich sont modérés, d'après E. Hrushovski et F. Loeser, séminaire bourbaki. vol. 2011/2012. *Astérisque*, 352:459–507, 2013. 4.2

[Gro66] Alexander Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., Paris, 1966. 4.2

[Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. 3.1, 3.2, 3.2

[HL16] Ehud Hrushovski and François Loeser. *Non-archimedean tame topology and stably dominated types*, volume 192 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2016. 1.1, 4.2, 4.2

[HLP14] Ehud Hrushovski, François Loeser, and Bjorn Poonen. Berkovich spaces embed in Euclidean spaces. *Enseign. Math.*, 60(3-4):273–292, 2014. 1.2, 4.2, 4.2

[HMY12] Christian Haase, Gregg Musiker, and Josephine Yu. Linear Systems on Tropical Curves. *Math. Z.*, 270(3-4):1111–1140, 2012. 3.2, 3.2

[LPP12] Chang Mou Lim, Sam Payne, and Natasha Potashnik. A note on Brill-Noether theory and rank-determining sets for metric graphs. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):5484–5504, 2012. 3.2

[Mil63] John Milnor. *Morse theory*. Based on lecture notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963. 1

[MN15] Mircea Mustaţă and Johannes Nicaise. Weight functions on non-archimedean analytic spaces and the Kontsevich-Soibelman skeleton. *Algebr. Geom.*, 2(3):365–404, 2015. 1.2

[MZ08] Grigory Mikhalkin and Ilia Zharkov. *Tropical curves, their Jacobians and Theta functions*, volume 465 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 203–230. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. 2, 2.1, 2.2

[NX13] Johannes Nicaise and Chenyang Xu. The essential skeleton of a degeneration of algebraic varieties. *preprint arXiv:1307.4041*, July 2013. 1.2

[Ong03] Boon W. Ong. The Homotopy Type of the Symmetric Products of Bouquets of Circles. *Internat. J. Math.*, 14(5):489–497, 2003. 3.1, 3.2

[Pay15] Sam Payne. Topology of nonarchimedean analytic spaces and relations to complex algebraic geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 52(2):223–247, 2015. 1

[Sma57] Stephen Smale. A Vietoris Mapping Theorem for Homotopy. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8:604–610, 1957. 1.2, 3.2, 4.2

Department of Mathematics, Yale University
E-mail address: jifeng.shen@yale.edu